Estimation of Growth Rates at Kielder Forest using Airborne Laser Scanning

Amy Woodget^a, Danny Donoghue^a, Patrice Carbonneau^a and David Woodhouse^b ^aUniversity of Durham, UK ^bForestry Commission, Kielder District, UK





Introduction

'Estimation of Growth Rates at Kielder Forest using Airborne Laser Scanning'

- Forest Management up-to-date, accurate data
- ALS has shown promise for tree height and biomass
- Carbon stocks & climate change
- Assess potential for growth
- Error quantification



LASER - SCANNING

Source: <u>www.gis.gov.ae</u>

Background Use of LiDAR for <u>Growth</u> Estimation

<u>Yu et al., 2004</u>

- Kalkinnen, Finland
- 1998-2000
- Tree-to-tree matching
 algorithms
- $R^2 = 0.29$
- Height underestimation -67cm = <u>serious issue!</u>

<u>Yu et al., 2006</u>

- Follow up paper
- 1998-2003
- Hausdorff technique
- $R^2 = 0.68$



Background

The Focus So Far...

Geographical Region:

Less densely stocked, slow growing forests of Scandinavia

Scale:

Individual tree level growth

Other:

Ground truthing still essential

So what does this mean for my research?

Background Aims & Originality **Field Location** LIDAR Processing Ground Truthing Results Discussion Conclusion

Introduction

Aims & Originality

Aims:

i. Quantify growth rates using multi-temporal (2003, 2006) airborne LiDAR data from Kielder Forest;
ii. Verify by ground truthing and;
iii. Test the accuracy of the ground truth equipment.

Originality:

Combination of...

- i. Stand level growth
- ii. Temperate, fast growing forest
- iii. Ground truth error



Field Location

Kielder Forest, Northumberland

- Forestry Commission
- Plantation Forest: 62,000 ha
- Sitka Spruce



Source: www.hadrianswallcountry.org





Source: <u>www.calvert-trust.org.uk</u>

LiDAR Processing

Methodology

Introduction

Background



LiDAR Overview 2006



LiDAR Processing

Mean Height Data

Estimating Growth ...

2006

Growth



High : 30.00m Low : 0.00m

2003



Ground Truthing Verification of LiDAR Using a Vertex Hypsometer 0.02ha circular plots Various ages • Height, dbh etc.



Use of LaserAce







Height Regressions

Comparing the LiDAR with the Ground Truth Data



Growth Regressions

Comparing the LiDAR with the Ground Truth Data



Introduction Background Aims & Originality **Field Location** LIDAR Processing Ground Truthing **Results** Discussion Conclusion

Field Derived Growth (m)

Weak correlation, despite removal of all negative values



Ground Truth Error?

- Errors are expected within the LiDAR
- Ground truth data is assumed to be 'true'
- But what is the error associated with ground truth data collection?
 - Instrument accuracy?
 - User variability?

The Trial

- 15 trees AND 9 users
- 3 height measuring devices
 - 1. Vertex Hypsometer
 - 2. Suunto Clinometer
 - 3. LaserAce







- Introduction Background Aims & Originality **Field Location** LIDAR Processing Ground Truthing Results
 - Discussion Conclusion





Ground Truth Error Instrument and User Variability

The Vertex...



Introduction Background Aims & Originality **Field Location** LIDAR Processing Ground Truthing **Results Discussion** Conclusion



True Height (m)

Ground Truth Error Instrument and User Variability

The Suunto Clinometer...



True Height (m)

Ground Truth Error Instrument and User Variability

The LaserAce...



True Height (m)

Ground Truth Error

Summary...

Vertex seems most accurate

Strong positive
 correlations with 'true'
 height (R²=0.97)

<u>BUT</u>- scatter is still considerable

- As much as 2m

Growth over the 3 years is small
 - 0.2 - 1.8m

• Therefore growth is undetectable?

Errors associated with growth estimation are actually larger than the estimated growth itself

2006

2003

Height

(with respect to the ground truthing)

Background Aims & Originality **Field Location** LIDAR Processing Ground Truthing Results Discussion Conclusion

Introduction

Error

> Time

Growth

Conclusion & Future Work

Original Aim: growth at Kielder using ALS 2003-2006

Findings:

- Strong positive correlations for HEIGHT (R² = 0.98)
- Poor correlations for GROWTH (R² = 0.2)
- Significant errors in Vertex data (2m)
- Causes any growth to be undetected

What does this ground truth error mean for estimating growth using LiDAR? Need to understand errors and biases in order to develop a repeatable, robust methodology

Introduction Background Aims & Originality **Field Location** LIDAR Processing Ground Truthing Results Discussion Conclusion

CALINIAN CANNARY